1 and final passage. In the second house, three additional checks of the same nature appear. If changes are made in 2 the second house, there is a further check in the house 3 of origin and even in some cases by conference committee action. 5

Should the proposed legislation pass, there are other checks still available. The governor has a veto and the legislature a chance to reconsider and accept or override his action. Moreover, in most cases, the law is subject to popular referendum and to judicial review. If the pressures of public opinion and interest groups be added to all these, we have a formidable array of obstructions indeed

How essential is it that all these checks be preserved? Specifically, how effective is the two-house system in preventing "hasty and ill-considered" legislation? Objective tests are difficult to apply. But there are some comparisons that can be made and some related data available -- enough to raise serious doubts as to the value of bicameralism as a desirable or necessary check to legislation. In a study 21 of committee procedure in the Maryland General Assembly some

6

7

В

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20